

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST MANUEL TO THE		
	TIENG BATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/903,453	07/29/1997	LEONARD FORBES	303.378US1	2271
	590 03/18/2002			
SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 2938				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 ECKERT II, GEORGE C		GEORGE C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-	2815	

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Interview Summary

Application No. 08/903,453

Applicant(s)

Examiner

George C. Eckert II

Forbes et al. Group Art Unit

		2010
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) George C. Eckert II	_ (3)	
(2) Mr. Robert E. Mates		
Date of Interview Mar 14, 2002	_	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2)☐ applicant's representative] e)☒ No. If yes, brief descriptio	
Claim(s) discussed: All		
Identification of prior art discussed: Sakata et al. and Sugita et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.  Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:  The essential rejection is based on Sakata, incorporating Suargued that Sakata and Sugita were not combinable as they the device of Sakata did not need source/dain regions.	nature of what was agreed to if a	an agreement was reached, or ain regions. Mr. Mates
device of Sakata. The examiner did not agree because Sakagate memory device (p. 688, col. 1). And, as is known in t sources and drains. The motivation for using the sources are	ata clearly teaches the use of the he art and taught by Sugita, float	on Sugita to form them in the SiC as applied to a floating ing gate memory devices use
memory devices. Mr. Mates argued that, absent an express combine from Sugita, the rejection is in error. Mr. Mates als additional basis for arguments. The examiner has a copy of	Steaching in Sakata, and absent a	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendar allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		would render the claims d render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	te record of the substance of the	interview (if box is checked)
Jnless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAI NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRON SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	L WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST $^{\circ}$ section 713.04). If a reply to the	OFFICE ACTION MUST ne last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PATENT EXAMINE